UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,710	10/686,710 10/17/2003 Satoshi Miyaji		032024	8795
	7590 04/30/200 , HATTORI, DANIEL	EXAMINER		
	TICUT AVENUE, NV	THOMAS, JASON M		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 October 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 4Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some Claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 3. Copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/686,710. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			Applicati	pplication No. Applicant(s)					
Jason Thomas Jas	Office Action Summary		10/686,7	10	MIYAJI ET AL.				
The MALLING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address − Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extransion forms any be available under the processors of 3°C RE 1.1360, In no event browner may nearly be time for the first of the manual property of the			Examine	•	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of inner rarge for analysis and the proteins of 37 CFR 1-136(a). Inno ownth, however, may a nayle to timely filled. - Extensions of inner rarge for the specific proteins of 37 CFR 1-136(a). Inno ownth, however, may a nayle to timely filled. - Extensions of inner rarge for the specific proteins of 37 CFR 1-136(a). Inno ownth, however, may a nayle to timely filled. - False for rayle is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will oping sky (6) MONTHS from the railing date of this communication. - False for rayle is a possible above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will oping sky (6) MONTHS from the railing date of this communication, over if filling filled, may reduce any authority within the same of the communication, over if filling filled, may reduce any authority will be a state of the communication. - False for rayle is a communication of a fill owner of the communication. - False for reduce any authority will be a state of the communication. - False for rayle and the state of the communication. - False for rayle and the state of the communication. - False for rayle and the state of the communication. - False for rayle and the state of the communication. - False for rayle and the state of the communication. - False for rayle and the state of the communication. - False for rayle and the state of the communication. - False for rayle and the state of the state of the communication. - False for rayle and the state of the communication. - False for rayle and the state of the communication. - False for rayle and the state of the communication. - False for rayle and the state of the protein of the state of the communication. - False for rayle and the state of the communication. - False for rayle and the state of the protein documents have been received. - False for rayle and the state of the confl									
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Lettenbare of born may be available under the provision of 3° CFR 1.13(b). Inn event, however, may a regly be timely filed after 50X (b) (40X IFS from the mailing date of this communication. Failant to egy within the sof or extended period for ringy with 10 years of accessed period for ringy with 10 years of extended period for ringy with 10 years of extended period for ringy with 10 years of exceeded period for ringy with 10 years of extended period for ringy with 10 years of exceeded period for ringy with 10 years of exceeding 10 years of the period of the peri			appears on the	e cover sheet with the d	correspondence a	ddress			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 October 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2008 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f): a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f): a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum statutory per the content of the content	G DATE OF TH R 1.136(a). In no ev n. eriod will apply and w tatute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tir ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2008 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/686,710. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status								
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2008 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/686,710. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	7 October 200	13					
3	•								
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	~=	<i>'—</i>			osecution as to th	e merits is			
Al) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2008 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2008 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/686,710. 3. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Aktachment(e) 1) Notice of Prafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Nonice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Nonice of Informal Patent Application	٥/١	• •	•	•					
4)		·	or Expans as	.ay.c, 1000 0.2. 11, 1	00 0.0.210.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2008 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/686,710. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24									
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on _24		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2008 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b ob some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/686,710. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2008 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/686,710. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.							
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2008 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/686,710. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 31 ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 51 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2008 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/686,710. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election r	equirement.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2008 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/686,710. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 32 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Applicati	on Papers							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 24 January 2008 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/686,710. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 10 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 32 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/686,710. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	•			epted or b)□ objected	to by the Examir	ner.			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/686,710. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	, —		•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/686,710. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application						FR 1.121(d).			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/686,710. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/686,710. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/686,710. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application									
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/686,710. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	· .	-	sign priority un	del 55 0.5.0. g 113(a)-(u) 01 (1).				
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/686,710. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	<i>α</i> / Ι	,— ,— ,—							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)									
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. *Attachment(s) Attachment(s)									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application									
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application	_			_					
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application			`						
minimation biodicours statisment(s) (i 1975))						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kenner et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,956,716).

Regarding claim 1: Kenner discloses a storage and retrieval device (moving picture file distributing device) which receives video data (moving picture files) by uploading (see [column 10 lines 40-57] where video data is uploaded; see also [column 27 lines 63-65] and [column 31 lines 54-56] for section on uploading) and stores it in storage means (see [figure 1] where SRUs are shown; [column 28 lines 30-39] where uploaded data is stored on Web server; [column 10 lines 40-57] for storage engine; [column 28 lines 52-54] for the invoking of the storage management logic) and distributes the video data stored in the storage means to a client by downloading (see [column 5 lines 39-41] where users can access by downloading data via the Web or Web-like sites), comprising: upload buffer generating means for dynamically generating an upload buffer for temporarily holding a moving picture file at the time of reception for each session; and download buffer generating means for dynamically generating a download buffer for temporarily holding a moving picture file at the time of distribution for

Application/Control Number: 10/686,710

Art Unit: 2623

each session (see [figure 2] for DSI buffer, [column 5 lines 8-38] for DSI session creation, [column 6 lines 42-52] for querying during a user session, [column 12 lines 5-18] for DSI session creation used to buffer data and its destruction immediately thereafter; [column 29 lines 36-40] where the same storage logic is inherent to the device and applied not only for the downloading but also for uploading video data as discussed in this section).

Page 3

Regarding claim 2: Kenner discloses all of the limitations of claim 1 including means for holding an entire video data in the upload buffer before transferring the video data to the storage means (see [column 11 lines 33-44 and column 11 line 65 through column 12 line 3] for holding an entire contiguous video to be ready for transmission in the transmit buffer, [column 10 lines 40-57] for storage means; see also [column 29 lines 36-40] where the same storage logic is inherent to the device and also capable of being used for uploads); and means for, eliminating the upload buffer after transferring the video data to said storage means and generating the upload buffer prior to the start of said upload (see [column 12 lines 5-18] for DSI session creation for buffering data and destruction immediately thereafter; see also [column 29 lines 36-40] where the same storage logic is inherent to the device and also capable of being used for uploads).

Regarding claim 3: assuming the moving picture file distributing device is according to claim 1, Kenner discloses all of the limitations of claim 1 including means for each time holding fragmented video data in the upload buffer where

one fragment is completed before transferring the fragments to the storage means (see [column 15 lines 14-23] where the video data can be stored in storage blocks which are stored separately); and means for, eliminating the upload buffer after transferring the video data to said storage means and generating the upload buffer prior to the start of upload (see [column 12 lines 5-18] for DSI session creation for buffering data and destruction immediately thereafter; see also [column 29 lines 36-40] where the same storage logic is inherent to the device and also capable of being used for uploads).

Regarding claim 4: Kenner discloses all of the limitations of claim 1 including means for holding an entire video data in the download buffer before transferring the video data to the storage means (see [column 11 lines 33-44 and column 11 line 65 through column 12 line 3] for holding an entire contiguous video to be ready for transmission in the transmit buffer, [column 10 lines 40-57] for storage means) and means for, eliminating the download buffer after transferring the video data to said storage means and generating the download buffer prior to the start of said download (see [column 12 lines 5-18] for DSI session creation for buffering data and destruction immediately thereafter).

Regarding claim 5: assuming the moving picture file distributing device is according to claim 1, Kenner discloses all of the limitations of claim 1 including means for each time holding fragmented video data in the download buffer where one fragment is completed before transferring the fragments to the storage means (see [column 15 lines 14-23] where the video data can be stored in

Art Unit: 2623

storage blocks which are stored separately); and means for, eliminating the download buffer after transferring the video data to said storage means and generating the download buffer prior to the start of download (see [column 12 lines 5-18] for DSI session creation for buffering data and destruction immediately thereafter).

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed in response to the rejections of claims 1-5 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Claim 1: Applicant asserts that claim 1 "receives a moving picture file from image pickup terminals and the like by uploading, and meets download request from a client and downloads a moving picture file to the client" and is therefore different from the system for delivery of the video data of Kenner et al. because "Kenner teaches the system for delivery of the video data that collects video clips from other hosts, and only downloads the video clips to a user's terminal."

Kenner, however, does teach the element of claim 1 that is in question.

Looking to the claim language, "A moving picture file distributing device which receives a moving picture file by uploading and stores it in storage means, and distributes the moving picture file stored in the storage means to a client by downloading..." is recited.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,710

Art Unit: 2623

Contrary to applicant's assertion that Kenner teaches a "system for delivery of the video data that collects video clips from other hosts," Kenner teaches a dynamic system which has a network of extended storage and retrieval units (SRUs) (see [fig. 4]) which act as a server when providing, by downloading, video data to a user terminal (see [abstract] for video data received by a user at the user's multimedia terminal; see also [col. 8, II. 14-25] for downloading) and as a client when uploading video data to another extended SRU (see Section B. "Uploading and Distributing New Content" [col. 28, II. 52-54]; where the Index Manager (IM) instructs one extended SRU to copy (upload) a file to at least one other extended SRU; see also [abstract], [col. 14, II. 53-62], [col. 15-16, II. 59-3], [col. 28, II. 59-66], [col. 29, II. 36-40] for uploading and distributing clips to geographically diverse servers unless denied storage by the receiving SRU).

Page 6

Based on the aforementioned reasons it is clear that Kenner explicitly teaches, "A moving picture file distributing device which receives a moving picture file by uploading."

Applicant further asserts that: "Kenner does not describe an upload buffer generating means as recited in claim 1" because: a) Kenner teaches a DSI that collects and transmits video clips from extended and remote SRUs to local SRUs as well as determining the most appropriate routes and schedules for downloading request information; and b) Kenner fails to describe an upload buffer generating means which is furthered by FIG. 2 which specifically illustrates

Art Unit: 2623

a "DSI Download Buffer" and no illustrations are provided for or describe a DSI Upload Buffer.

Kenner, however, teaches the element of claim 1 that is in question. Looking to the claim language an, "upload buffer generating means for dynamically generating an upload buffer" is recited.

Kenner discloses a dynamic system which involves processes where the end user downloads video from a DSI (see [fig. 3]); and uploading processes from the DSI between remote and extended SRUs all of which is done to most appropriately route and schedule requested video data and to provide fast and efficient service to the user who request the video data for download (see [col. 5, II. 27-35]).

This dynamic system uses a data sequencing interface (DSI) which is dynamically created (generated) during a user session upon a user's request of a video clip (see [col. 5, II. 11-16], [col. 10, II. 25-32], [col. 12, II. 4-10], [col. 16, II. 42-44], [col. 17, II. 2-7], [col. 20, II. 44-47] for dynamically creating a DSI for a user; see also [col. 12, II. 14-18] for destroying the DSI after it's purpose is served). Although a buffer may be shown in the figures, the means to buffer data is not available until the DSI is used to buffer the data (see [fig. 3] where the DSI buffers video data received from remote or extended SRUs which is then later collected by the local SRU; see also [col. 11-12, II. 65-2], [col. 12, II. 10-12], [col. 16, II. 53-57], [col. 25, II. 45-48], [col. 31, II. 16-24]).

Art Unit: 2623

The DSI which is created (generated) for the purpose of buffering video data (see [col. 11, II. 17-24]) is also used in the uploading process (see Section B. "Uploading and Distributing New Content [col. 29, II. 33-40] where the DSI is used to disseminate video data to extended SRUs; see also [abstract], [col. 11, II. 17-40], [col. 30-31, II. 66-10], [col. 31, II. 33-42], [col. 50-52] for uploading and distributing clips to geographically diverse servers known as remote and extended SRUs for dynamic load management).

Based on the aforementioned reasons it is clear that Kenner explicitly teaches an, "upload buffer generating means for dynamically generating an upload buffer."

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 270-5080. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Koenig can be reached on (571) 272-7296. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

J. Thomas

/Andrew Y Koenig/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623